& TRADEM

MAY 1627:

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

DOCKET NUMBER: P-IX 3280

SERIAL NO: 09/169,048 FILING DATE: October 8, 1998

EXAMINER: M. Garcia GROUP ART UNIT:

1627

INVENTION:

METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING OPTIMAL BINDING LIGANDS TO A

RECEPTOR

TO COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on August 28, 2000.

By: Deboral Z.C Deborah L. Cadena, Reg. 44,048

August 28, 2000 Date of Signature

Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Restriction Requirement mailed February 28, 2000, in the above-identified application.

- Small Entity status of this application has been established under 37 CFR 1.27 by a verified statement previously submitted.
- Petition for Five-Month Extension of Time is enclosed (in duplicate).
- \underline{X} No additional claims fee is required.
- An additional claims fee is required and has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	NUMBER AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER		NUMBER OF		RATE		FEE		
	AMEND- MENT		PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	CLAIMS PRESENTED			SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY		SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY
TOTAL CLAIMS	38	-	38	-	0	x	\$9	\$18	_	\$0.00	\$
INDEPEN- DENT CLAIMS	5	-	, 5	-	0	x	\$39	\$78	=	\$0.00	\$
FIRST PRESENTAT MULTIPLE DEPENDENT			YES	_	X NO		\$130	\$260	=	\$0.00	\$
		-					TOTAL ADDITION	AL FEE		\$0.00	\$

- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 3, write "3" in this space.
- If the difference between the "NUMBER AFTER AMENDMENT" and the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 0, write "0" in the space.
- Please charge my Deposit Account No. 03-0370 the amount of ____. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Inventors: Huse and Freedman

Serial No.: 09/169,048 Filed: October 8, 1998

Page 2

<u>X</u>	A check	in the amount of	\$925.00 is enclosed,	which covers
	the fee	for a five-month	extension of time.	

- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-0370. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
 - Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.
 - Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.
- X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 03-0370 any fees under 37 CFR 1.17 which may be required under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(3) for an extension of time in any concurrent or future reply requiring a petition for extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah L. Cadena

Registration No. 44,048

CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP

4370 La Jolla Village Drive

7th Floor

San Diego, California 92122

858-535-9001

USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 23601



PATENT

Our Docket: P-IX 3280

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Huse and Freedman

Serial No.: 09/169,048

Filed: October 8, 1998

For: METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING

OPTIMAL BINDING LIGANDS)

TO A RECEPTOR

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C.

Group Art Unit: 1627

Examiner: M. Garcia

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on

August_28, 2000.

Cadena, Reg. No. 44,048

August 28, 2000 Date of Signature

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed February 28, 2000, consideration of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

In regard to the indication in the Restriction Requirement of the Notice to Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures, Applicants responded to the Notice to Comply in the Communication filed on March 28, 2000. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the requirements regarding the Sequence Listing have been satisfied.

The Office Action alleges that the application is directed to five distinct and independent inventions. below are the five inventions set forth in the Office Action.